



Australian Government  
Department of Health

# COMMUNICABLE DISEASES INTELLIGENCE

2020 Volume 44  
<https://doi.org/10.33321/cdi.2020.44.89>

## A fatal case of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* linked to a private drinking water supply

Rebecca M Schack, Mark Handby, Joy Gregory, Nela Subasinghe, Shaun P Coutts

# Communicable Diseases Intelligence

ISSN: 2209-6051 Online

This journal is indexed by Index Medicus and Medline.

Creative Commons Licence - Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives CC BY-NC-ND

© 2020 Commonwealth of Australia as represented by the Department of Health

This publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial NoDerivatives 4.0 International Licence from <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode> (Licence). You must read and understand the Licence before using any material from this publication.

## Restrictions

The Licence does not cover, and there is no permission given for, use of any of the following material found in this publication (if any):

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms (by way of information, the terms under which the Coat of Arms may be used can be found at [www.itsanhonour.gov.au](http://www.itsanhonour.gov.au));
- any logos (including the Department of Health's logo) and trademarks;
- any photographs and images;
- any signatures; and
- any material belonging to third parties.

## Disclaimer

Opinions expressed in Communicable Diseases Intelligence are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Australian Government Department of Health or the Communicable Diseases Network Australia. Data may be subject to revision.

## Enquiries

Enquiries regarding any other use of this publication should be addressed to the Communication Branch, Department of Health, GPO Box 9848, Canberra ACT 2601, or via e-mail to: [copyright@health.gov.au](mailto:copyright@health.gov.au)

## Communicable Diseases Network Australia

Communicable Diseases Intelligence contributes to the work of the Communicable Diseases Network Australia.  
<http://www.health.gov.au/cdna>



Communicable Diseases Intelligence (CDI) is a peer-reviewed scientific journal published by the Office of Health Protection, Department of Health. The journal aims to disseminate information on the epidemiology, surveillance, prevention and control of communicable diseases of relevance to Australia.

## Editor

Tanja Farmer

## Deputy Editor

Simon Petrie

## Design and Production

Kasra Yousefi

## Editorial Advisory Board

David Durrheim,  
Mark Ferson, John Kaldor,  
Martyn Kirk and Linda Selvey

## Website

<http://www.health.gov.au/cdi>

## Contacts

Communicable Diseases Intelligence is produced by:  
Health Protection Policy Branch  
Office of Health Protection  
Australian Government  
Department of Health  
GPO Box 9848, (MDP 6)  
CANBERRA ACT 2601

## Email:

[cdi.editor@health.gov.au](mailto:cdi.editor@health.gov.au)

## Submit an Article

You are invited to submit your next communicable disease related article to the Communicable Diseases Intelligence (CDI) for consideration. More information regarding CDI can be found at:  
<http://health.gov.au/cdi>.

Further enquiries should be directed to:  
[cdi.editor@health.gov.au](mailto:cdi.editor@health.gov.au).

## Short report

# A fatal case of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* linked to a private drinking water supply

Rebecca M Schack, Mark Handby, Joy Gregory, Nela Subasinghe, Shaun P Coutts

## Abstract

In May 2017, a fatal case of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) with haemolytic uremic syndrome was investigated by the Victorian Department of Health and Human Services and a local government authority. Investigation revealed the case used rainwater harvested from the roof of their home and stored in tanks as a private drinking water supply, despite the availability of a reticulated supply from the local water authority. *Escherichia coli* Stx1 and Stx2 genes were detected in a water sample collected from the private drinking water supply, consistent with those earlier identified in the case's faecal sample. This case study highlights the potential risks of STEC infection from private drinking water supplies, the importance of proper maintenance of such supplies, and the preferable use of reticulated water supplies when available. It also demonstrated an effective collaboration between local and state government for an environmental public health investigation.

## Introduction

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) are a group of bacteria that express Shiga toxins (Stx) 1 and 2.<sup>1,2</sup> Cattle and other ruminants are the main reservoirs,<sup>3,4</sup> with transmission to humans primarily occurring through the ingestion of contaminated food and water, direct contact with animals and their faeces, and cross-contamination of fomites. It can survive in animal faeces, water and soil for months.<sup>1,5</sup>

STEC is infectious at very low doses and can cause illness in humans including severe abdominal pain, cramping and watery diarrhoea which may become bloody.<sup>1</sup> In approximately 3–7% of cases, infection with STEC may lead to haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS).<sup>6</sup> HUS is characterised by acute renal failure, haemolytic anaemia, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and is fatal in approximately 12% of cases.<sup>7</sup> Children less than five years of age, the elderly and the immunocompromised are at greater risk of developing HUS.<sup>8,9</sup>

In Victoria, laboratories and medical practitioners are required to notify STEC to the

Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) within five days of diagnosis. HUS requires immediate notification by telephone on suspicion.<sup>10</sup>

A standardised questionnaire is administered to all STEC cases by DHHS investigators to identify risk factors for infection. Single case investigations rarely result in the definitive identification of a source of infection due to delays in diagnosis, inadequate case recall of risk factors, exposure to multiple risk factors, and the inability of investigators to obtain suitable food and environmental samples for timely analysis (primarily due to delays in diagnosis).

## Investigation

### Case investigation

On 9 May 2017, DHHS was notified by the Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory (MDU PHL) of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) result identifying *E. coli* Stx1 and *E. coli* Stx2 genes in a faecal specimen from a 77-year-old hospitalised female. This notification triggered a STEC case investigation in accord-

ance with DHHS protocols. Ethics approval was not required for this case study or investigation and it was carried out under Victorian public health legislation.

Discussion of the case with the admitting hospital medical practitioner revealed the case had been clinically diagnosed with HUS. This diagnosis had not been previously notified to the department.

As the case was too unwell for interview, the standardised STEC questionnaire was completed with the next of kin and a friend of the case. Identified risk factors included bushwalking, handling sheep manure in the garden, handling raw meat in the home and consumption of water from a private drinking water supply.

The case subsequently died from acute renal failure.

### Environmental Investigation

On 9 May 2017, a local government Environmental Health Officer conducted an on-site assessment of the case's rural property to identify potential sources of infection. The case had used rainwater harvested from the roof of their house and stored in two water tanks as a private drinking water supply, despite the availability of a reticulated water supply from the local water authority. The drinking water tanks appeared modern and well-sealed, with no obvious signs that animal faeces could have directly contaminated the water. Both tanks were fed by piping from the same section of roof, through screens that would prevent the entry of large contaminants such as sticks and leaves. No further treatment steps were applied to the tank water prior to consumption.

The officer returned on 11 May 2017 to collect samples of standing water from a drinking water jug in the case's bedroom, sheep manure used in the garden, suspected unpasteurised cream from the refrigerator and two water samples directly from each of the drinking water tanks.

All samples were tested for the presence of STEC using real-time TaqMan PCR at MDU PHL. The presence of *E. coli* Stx1 and *E. coli* Stx2 genes was detected in a sample collected from one of the water tanks, consistent with the genes detected in the case's faecal specimen. STEC was not able to be cultured from either the case's faecal specimen or the PCR-positive water sample. No Shiga toxin genes were detected in any other food, water or environmental samples collected from the property.

### Public health response

To reduce the risk of further infection and illness associated with consumption of water at the property, DHHS and the local government authority advised three options to the case's family: disinfect, replace, or remove the water tanks. The family chose to disinfect and remove the water tanks from the property.

The roof of the case's house was cleaned, including the spouting and downpipes. The water tanks and internal pipework were dosed and flushed with 5 mg/L chlorine solution, emptied and removed from the property.

The house was subsequently connected to the reticulated drinking water supply, which was used to flush the internal pipework. Follow-up water samples were collected from the kitchen and bathroom taps in the house on 14 June 2017. STEC was not detected in these follow-up samples.

### Discussion/Conclusion

This case study highlights the potential risks of STEC infection from private drinking water supplies. Although the source of the STEC and the route of transmission to the case could not be definitively identified, it is likely the roof of the case's home was directly or indirectly contaminated by animal faeces, resulting in contaminated runoff entering the drinking water tanks.

The investigation was an effective collaboration between local and state government and ensured

a swift investigation to remove the potential health risk to people who may consume water at the property. Unfortunately, both PCR-positive samples were unable to be cultured, meaning further typing could not be completed to further verify a link between the samples. The inability to interview the case directly meant that other potential sources of infection may have gone unidentified.

This case study also emphasises the importance of effectively managing private drinking water supplies, particularly untreated supplies where the water is not filtered or disinfected prior to consumption.<sup>11,12</sup> Hazards associated with private drinking water supplies can include microbial pathogens; chemical contamination from pipes, tanks or roof materials; contamination from dust, agricultural or industrial material; mosquitoes; elevated levels of metals and nitrates in groundwater; and taste and odour issues from algae.<sup>13</sup>

Where available, reticulated drinking water supplies provide a safe, regulated option and do not depend on individual preventative maintenance and treatment of microbiological hazards. In many parts of Victoria, people have no alternative to the use of a private drinking water supply, as a reticulated supply is not available. DHHS guidance for people using private drinking supplies recommends ensuring water comes from a good quality source, regularly maintaining the water supply systems, and using appropriate treatment where required.<sup>13</sup> Although this general advice is available to the public, the number and quality of private drinking water supplies in use throughout Victoria is currently unknown.

## Author details

Rebecca M Schack<sup>1</sup>

Mark Handby<sup>2</sup>

Joy Gregory<sup>1</sup>

Nela Subasinghe<sup>3</sup>

Shaun P Coutts<sup>1</sup>

1. Health Protection Branch, Victorian Department of Health and Human Services, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
2. Environmental Health Officer, Victoria, Australia
3. Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

## Corresponding author

Rebecca M Schack

Address: Health Protection Branch, Victorian Department of Health and Human Services

Email: [rebecca.schack@dhhs.vic.gov.au](mailto:rebecca.schack@dhhs.vic.gov.au)

## References

1. Heymann DL, American Public Health Association. *Control of Communicable Diseases Manual: an official report of the American Public Health Association*. Twentieth edition. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association; 2015.
2. Rahal EA, Fadlallah SM, Nassar FJ, Kazzi N, Matar GM. Approaches to treatment of emerging Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections highlighting the O104:H4 serotype. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2015;5:24. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2015.00024>.
3. Smith JL, Fratamico PM, Gunther NW. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. *Adv Appl Microbiol*. 2014;86:145–97.
4. Arthur TM, Barkocy-Gallagher GA, Rivera-Betancourt M, Koohmaraie M. Prevalence and characterization of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* on carcasses in commercial beef cattle processing plants. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2002;68(10):4847–52.
5. Karch H, Bielaszewska M, Bitzan M, Schmidt H. Epidemiology and diagnosis of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1999;34(3):229–43.
6. Lampel KA, Al-Khaldi S, Cahill SM (editors). *Bad bug book: foodborne pathogenic microorganisms and natural toxins*. Second edition. Silver Spring, Maryland: United States Food and Drug Administration; 2012.
7. Vally H, Hall G, Dyda A, Raupach J, Knope K, Combs B et al. Epidemiology of Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* in Australia, 2000–2010. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12(1):63.
8. Thorpe CM. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2004;38(9):1298–303.
9. Proulx F, Seidman EG, Karpman D. Pathogenesis of Shiga toxin-associated hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Pediatr Res*. 2001;50(2):163–71.
10. State Government of Victoria. *Public Health and Wellbeing Act 2008*. Melbourne: State Government of Victoria; 2008. Available from: <https://www.legislation.vic.gov.au/in-force/acts/public-health-and-wellbeing-act-2008/043>.
11. McCall BJ, Slinko VG, Smith HV, Heel K, Culleton TH, Kelk VR et al. An outbreak of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infection associated with a school camp. *Commun Dis Intell Q Rep*. 2010;34(1):54–6.
12. Lascowski KM, Guth BE, Martins FH, Rocha SPD, Irino K, Pelayo JS. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in drinking water supplies of north Paraná State, Brazil. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2013;114(4):1230–9.
13. Victorian Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). *Your private drinking water supply*. Melbourne: DHHS; 20 August 2014. Available from: <https://www2.health.vic.gov.au/about/publications/research-and-reports/Your-private-drinking-water-supply>.